

HYDROGEN PIPELINE											
Date of factsheet	43425										
Author	Jacob Janssen										
Sector	Hydrogen										
ETS / Non-ETS	non-ETS										
Type of Technology	Hydrogen pipeline - Transmission										
Description	Used to transport hydrogen. Pipelines come in a very high variety of diameters, lengths and pressures. We assume a 100 km pipeline with a throughput of 50k Nm ³ /hour. This is a middle value of the tested values in Yang and Ogden (2007) who take "The transport distance is varied from 25 to 500 km and the flow of hydrogen from 2000 to 100,000 kg/day." Costs are including compression.										
TRL level 2020	TRL 9 Mature technology										
TECHNICAL DIMENSIONS											
Capacity	Functional Unit		Value and Range								
	MW				209,017.00			209,017.00			
Potential	MW	NL	unlimited								
Market share	%		Min		-			Max			
Capacity utilization factor	1										
Unit of Activity	PJ/year										
Technical lifetime (years)	40										
Full-load running hours per year	8,760										
Progress ratio	1										
Hourly profile	No										
Explanation	Capacity based on stated assumptions and conversion factors as found in IEA (2017). Lifetime from Yang and Ogden (2017).										
COSTS											
Year of Euro	2015										
Investment costs per year	Euro per Functional Unit		Current			2030			2050		
	mIn. € / MW		0.40			0.40			0.40		
Other costs per year	mIn. € / MW		-			-			-		
Fixed operational costs per year (excl. fuel costs)	mIn. € / MW		0.02			0.02			0.02		
			0.02			0.02			0.02		
Variable costs per year	mIn. € / MW		-			-			-		
			Min			Max			Min		
Costs explanation	In Vita (2018) cost data is given in euro/kW output. They report investment costs of 723 euro/kW for uncompressed hydrogen gas (10 bar) and 178 for compressed hydrogen gas (60 bar). Assuming a 100 km pipeline with a throughput of 50*10 ³ Nm ³ /hour, the total cost of a transmission network pipeline would be 100*,6*10 ⁶ /(,5*10 ⁵ *365*24*10,8) (euro/(MJ/year)) ~ 400 euro/kW. This serves as a sense-check that the numbers here can correspond to the numbers in Vita (2018). Cost for uncompressed hydrogen are higher as the cost is per unit of kW H ₂ out. As uncompressed hydrogen moves slower, it is more expensive.										
ENERGY IN- AND OUTPUTS											
Energy carriers (per unit of main output)	Energy carrier	Unit	Current			2030			2050		
	Main output:		1.00			1.00			1.00		
	Hydrogen	PJ	1.00			1.00			1.00		
	Hydrogen	PJ	-1.00			-1.00			-1.00		
	Electricity	PJ	0.04			0.04			0.04		
		PJ	-			-			-		
Energy in- and Outputs explanation	Including compression. Körner (2015) reports efficiencies of 95% including compression, Yang en Ogden (2007) mention efficiency losses of 2-3%.										
MATERIAL FLOWS (OPTIONAL)											
Material flows	Material	Unit	Current			2030			2050		
			-			-			-		
			Min			Max			Min		
Material flows explanation											

EMISSIONS (Non-fuel/energy-related emissions or emissions reductions (e.g. CCS))											
Emissions	Substance	Unit	Current			2030			2050		
			<i>Min</i>	-	<i>Max</i>	<i>Min</i>	-	<i>Max</i>	<i>Min</i>	-	<i>Max</i>
			<i>Min</i>	-	<i>Max</i>	<i>Min</i>	-	<i>Max</i>	<i>Min</i>	-	<i>Max</i>
Emissions explanation	0										
OTHER											
Other			Current			2030			2050		
			<i>Min</i>	-	<i>Max</i>	<i>Min</i>	-	<i>Max</i>	<i>Min</i>	-	<i>Max</i>
REFERENCES AND SOURCES											
Amos, W. A. (1999). Costs of storing and transporting hydrogen (No. NREL/TP-570-25106; ON: DE00006574). National Renewable Energy Lab., Golden, CO (US).											
Körner, A., Tam, C., Bennett, S., & Gagné, J. F. (2015). Technology roadmap-hydrogen and fuel cells. International Energy Agency (IEA): Paris, France.											
Alessia De Vita, Pantelis Capros, Stavroula Evangelopoulou, Maria Kannavou, Pelopidas Siskos, Georgios Zazias (E3Modelling), Sil Boeve, Marian Bons, Rob Winkel, Jan Cihlar (Ecofys), Louise De Vos, Niels Leemput, Pavla											
Source: M. Robinius et al. (2018): Comparative Analysis of Infrastructures: Hydrogen Fueling and Electric Charging of Vehicles											
Source: C. Yang & J. Ogden (2007): Determining the lowest-cost hydrogen delivery mode											
Paul E. Dodds and Will McDowall (2012). A review of hydrogen delivery technologies for energy system models. UCL Energy Institute, University Coll.											
Frontier economics (2010). Evaluating the gas mains replacement programme – preliminary findings											
Van der Zwaan, B. C. C., et al. "The cost of pipelining climate change mitigation: an overview of the economics of CH4, CO2 and H2 transportation." Applied energy 88.11 (2011): 3821-3831.											
Mintz, M. Folga, S. et al. (2002). Cost of Some Hydrogen Fuel Infrastructure Options. Argonne National Laboratory											
IEA (2017). Techno-Economic Evaluation of SMR Based Standalone (Merchant) Hydrogen Plant with CCS. Accessed through https://ieaghg.org/exco_docs/2017-02.pdf											
Schoots, Koen, et al. "Historical variation in the capital costs of natural gas, carbon dioxide and hydrogen pipelines and implications for future infrastructure." International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 5.6 (2011): 1614-											