Energy in- and Outputs explanation



POLYMER ELECTROLYTE		DROGEN	INSTALLA	ATION - LA	RGE-SCA	LE						
Date of factsheet Author	4-6-2019 Marc Marsidi											
Sector	Hydrogen											
ETS / Non-ETS	ETS											
Type of Technology Description	Electrolysis Proton-exchange membrane or poly	n from water us	sing electricity. T	he electrolysis r	eaction takes p	olace in cells,						
	that are connected in series to make the (petro) chemical sector and the f				consist of mu	ltiple stacks. Se	ctors that have	e shown interest	: in (sustainable)	hydrogen prod	duction are	
	the (petro) chemical sector and the fertilizer sector (Berenschot, 2017). REM apprator at a temperature of around 60.70 °C (Woods, 2018). Electricity is used to split water (H2O) into avegan (O2) and hydrogen (H2). The technology consists on one side of a											
	PEM operates at a temperature of around 60-70 °C (Weeda, 2018). Electricity is used to split water (H2O) into oxygen (O2) and hydrogen (H2). The technology consists on one side of a positive terminal (anode), where water (H2O) reacts with a catalyst to form oxygen, electrons (e-) and hydrogen protons (H+). The hydrogen protons are then conducted across the polyments.											
	electrolyte membrane. At the negative terminal (cathode) of the installation, the electrons then combine with the hydrogen protons to produce hydrogen (SA, 2014). PEM uses a polymeric membrane that has a high proton conductivity when the membrane is hydrated (Feroldi & Basualdo, 2012).											
	A PEM installation can produce hydrogen at a pressure of 5-50 bar (ECN 2018) which can subsequently be further compressed to 80-950 bar to reduce the need for storage capacity. A											
	pressure of 80 bar is necessary for injection in the natural gas network, whereas a pressure of 350-950 bar is necessary for using hydrogen in transport, for example in trucks and passenge vehicles (De Vita et al., 2018). Note that for almost all applications hydrogen needs to be compressed. According to NOW (2018), the pressure output of a PEM installation could potentially											
	reach 110 bar by 2050.											
	The potential for PEM is high, however it is currently considered not economically feasible due to, amongst others, the high CAPEX (currently estimated around four times higher than economically viable). The levelised costs of hydrogen by electrolysis is about 5 €/kg (baseload production), which compares unfavourably with the cost of hydrogen from natural gas at 1-											
	economically viable). The levelised costs of hydrogen by electrolysis is about 5 €/kg (baseload production), which compares unfavourably with the cost of hydrogen from natural gas at 1-1.5 €/kg using steam methan reforming (SMR) (Berenschot, 2017).											
TRL level 2020	TRL 8											
	PEM electrolysis has been commercially available for around 10 years (E4tech, 2014), however according to De Vita et al. (2018), the technology is not yet at fully industrial scale (TRL 8).											
TECHNICAL DIMENSIONS												
Capacity	Functional Unit MW-H2-LHV-output					•	Value and Ran	ge				
	·			12			-			60		
Potential	NL	PJ		Current -			2030			2050 -		
Market share		%	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	
		70	Min	_	Max	Min	_	Max	Min	_	Max	
Capacity utlization factor Full-load running hours per year											0.9 8,49	
Unit of Activity	PJ/year										0.	
Technical lifetime (years) Progress ratio											0.	
Hourly profile Explanation	No The typical size for a large-scale PEM	Linstallation is 3	20 MWe (FCN 2	018) There are	alans by Akzo I	Nobel and Gasi	ınie to huild a '	00 MWe plant in	the northern n	art of the Neth	perlands A	
Explanation	large PEM installation for hydrogen p		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	Nobel allu Gast	inie to build a .	to wive plant in	the northern pa	art of the Neth	erialius. A	
	Stack sizes for large scale PEM install	lations range fro	om 0.25 – 5 MV	V (ECN, 2018). Th	e reported are	ea requirement	s for a PEM ins	tallation of arou	ınd 20 MW varie	s from 200 m2	to 1,170 m2	
	(ECN, 2018) .											
	Hydrogen can be used to produce st mostly produced via steam methane	•			•	•	ced hydrogen	can also directly	replace current	hydrogen cons	sumption	
	A PEM installation can be used as ba such as an offshore wind park).	seload product	ion (>8000 hou	rs per year) (Hyo	rogenics, 2016	6) or as flexible	e.٤ production	g. when connect	ed to an intermi	ttent source of	f electricity	
	The cell stacks have a technical lifeting	me of around 7	vears, which is	expected to incr	ease to up to 1	IO vears (SA 20	14). The install	ation itself has a	a technical lifetir	ne of 20 (FCN.	2018) to 30	
	years (LBST, 2015), which means the							ation itself flas t	r teermear metin	TIC 01 20 (ECIV, 2	2010) to 30	
	The investment cost of PEM electrol	ysis are expecte	ed to decrease.	Detz et al. (2018	uses a learnin	g rate of 21% .						
соѕтѕ												
Year of Euro	2015 Euro per Functional Ur	nit		Current			2030			2050		
Investment costs	mln. € / MW-H2-LHV-outp	out	1.32	1.32	2.36	0.40	1.17	1.68	0.24	1.04	1.12	
Other costs per year	mln. € / MW-H2-LHV-out	out	1.52	-	2.50	0.40	-	1.00	0.24	-	1.12	
Fixed operational costs per year	mln. € / MW-H2-LHV-outr	out	Min	0.07	Max	Min	0.05	Max	Min	- 0.05	Max	
(excl. fuel costs)	,		0.06	-	0.07	0.02	-	0.05	0.01	-	0.05	
Variable costs per year	mln. € / MW-H2-LHV-outp	out	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	<u>-</u> _	Max	
	The investment costs (CAPEX) in this	section refer to	the equipmen	t cost only.		1		ı				
	PEM electrolysis investment costs are expected to decrease significantly over time. They are expected to eventually become lower than for alkaline electrolysis because of the more											
	compact design with higher current density, and relatively easier system technology (NOW, 2018).											
	According to Hydrogenics (2016), the CAPEX for a multi-MW installation is 1,000 €/kWe-input and it is expected to go down to 700 €/kWe-input by 2030 and 385 €/kWe-input. The OPEX is 40 €/kWe-input/yr and it is expected to decrease to 32 €/kWe-input/yr by 2030, and 28 €/kWe-input/yr by 2050. The unit described by Hydrogenics (2016) has a 100 MW electrical power											
	input, producing low pressure hydrogen (20 bar). The unit has no storage and compression. The civil work cost are €5,000,000 and the connection cost to the public power grid											
	€2,000,000. The latter cost is limited as another connection of the industrial plant is assumed to already exist. In addition, there are large overhaul costs such as the required change of the fuel cell stacks (Hydrogenics, 2016). A major component of the investment is the cell stack, which makes up about 40% of the total equipment cost (Hydrogenics, 2016). These cell stacks											
	have to be replaced at least once du	ring the lifetime	e of the installat	tion, due to thei	relatively sho	rt lifespan.						
	De Vita et al. (2018) assume a curren €/kWe-input), and for 2050, it is 200	-	_		•		•	•				
Costs explanation	€/kWe-input/yr in 2030, and 7 €/kW			, ,	J	, ,	•					
	For PEM in general, NOW (2018) identifies, based on questionnaires, a current CAPEX of 1,390-1,540 €/kWe-input. For 2030, the CAPEX is expected to decrease to 490-1,120 €/kWe-input,											
	and in 2050, to 210-800 €/kWe-input.											
	According to SA (2014), the CAPEX is 900 €2012/kWe-input and refers exclusively to the equipment - installation cost are excluded. The installation cost component of the CAPEX is a factor of 1.21 (SA, 2014).											
	The used factors to convert the CAPEX and OPEX found in the literature are the following:											
	- Energy content hydrogen HHV of 12.7 MJ/m3. Source: Bossel, Ulf & Eliasson, Baldur (2003) Energy and The Hydrogen Economy											
	- Energy content hydrogen LHV of 10.8 MJ/m3. Source: RVO (2018) The Netherlands list of fuels - Density hydrogen at STP 0.0899 kg/m3. Source: https://encyclopedia.airliquide.com/											
ENERGY IN- AND OUTPUTS												
	Energy carrier	Unit		Current			2030			2050		
	Main output: hydrogen	PJ	-1.00	-1.00		-1.00	-1.00	-1.00	-1.00	-1.00		
	nydrogen	i e	1,1,1,	_	-1.00		_		1.00	_	-1.00	
	electricity	PJ		1.54			1.54	1		1.47	_	
Energy carriers (per unit of main output)		PJ	1.54		1.71	1.54	1.54 - -	1.57	1.47		-1.00	
Energy carriers (per unit of main output)		PJ		1.54				1		1.47	_	
Energy carriers (per unit of main output)		PJ	1.54	1.54	1.71	1.54	-	1.57	1.47	1.47	1.47	
Energy carriers (per unit of main output)		ciency of 5 kWh,	1.54 <i>Min Min</i> /m3 (55.6 kWh/	1.54 - - - - /kg) in 2015, whi	1.71 Max Max ch goes down	1.54 Min Min to 4.9 kWh/m3	- - - - (54.5 kWh/kg)	1.57 Max Max in 2030, and 4.8	1.47 Min Min 8 kWh/m3 (53.4	1.47 - - - - kWh/kg) in 205	1.47 Max Max 50. Accordin	

The conversion of kWhe/kg-H2 to PJe/PJ-H2 is based on multiplying times 3.6 MJe/kWhe and dividing by 120.1 MJ-H2-LHV/kg-H2.

MATERIAL FLOWS (OPTIONAL)											
Material flows	Material	Unit	Current			2030			2050		
	Hydrogen	kg	-1.00			-1.00			-1.00		
			-1.00	1	-1.00	-1.00	-	-1.00	-1.00	_	-1.00
	Water	kg	14.50			14.50			14.50		
			14.50	1	14.50	14.50	_	14.50	14.50	-	14.50
Material flows explanation	According to Hydrogenics (2016), around 1.3 liter of water per m3 hydrogen is required (14.5 kg-water/kg-H2)										
EMISSIONS (Non-fuel/energy-related e	missions or emissions reductions (e.	g. CCS)									
Emissions	Substance	Unit	Current			2030			2050		
			-			-			-		
			Min	1	Max	Min	_	Max	Min	_	Max
				-			-			-	
			Min	1	Max	Min	_	Max	Min	_	Max
				-			-			-	
			Min	1	Max	Min	_	Max	Min	_	Max
			-			-			-		
			Min	1	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	_	Max
Emissions explanation											

REFERENCES AND SOURCES

Weeda (2018). Routekaart Waterstof TKI Nieuw Gas.

SA (2014). Techno-economic analysis of PEM electrolysis for hydrogen production.

Hydrogenics (2016). Power to Gas.

Berenschot (2017). CO2-vrije waterstofproductie uit gas.

Berenschot (2017). Electrification in the Dutch process industry.

De Vita, A., Capros, P., Evangelopoulou, S., Kannavou, M., Siskos, P., Zazias, G., Boeve, S., Bons, M., Winkel, R., Cihlar, J., De Vos, L., Leemput, N., Mandatova, P. (2018). Sectoral integration - long term perspective.

Detz, R.J., Reek, J.N., & van der Zwaan, B.C. (2018). The future of solar fuels: when could they become competitive?

ECN (2018). Internal industrial electrolyser data.

E4tech (2014). Development of water electrolysis in the European Union.

NOW (2018). Industrialisierung der Wasserelektrolyse in Deutschland.

Feroldi, D., Basualdo, M.S. (2012). Description of PEM fuel cells system.

Akzo Nobel (2018). AkzoNobel and Gasunie looking to convert water into green hydrogen using sustainable electricity (extracted on 4 June 2019 from https://www.akzonobel.com/en/for-media/media-releases-and-features/akzonobel-and-gasunie-looking-convert-water-green-hydrogen)