TECHNOLOGY FACTSHEET



Lithium-ion Battery for Power Applications											
Date of factsheet	2-8-2021										
Author Sector	Sam Lamboo										
ETS / Non-ETS	Non-ETS Storage										
Description	Lithium-ion (Li-ion) batteries store electricity through a reversible chemical reaction. The basic components are a container, electrodes, and an electrolyte. By loading the battery, the electricity is transformed into chemical energy, while during discharge, electrochemical reactions occur at the two electrodes generating a flow of electrons through an external circuit (DNV KEMA, 2013). Li-ion batteries can be used for a variety of applications in large-scale energy storage such as frequency regulation, temporal storage and integrating renewables into the grid (making them more dispatchable). This facts have a new environment into the grid (making them more dispatchable). This facts have a new environment into the grid (making them more dispatchable).										
	renewables into the grid (making them more dispatchable). This factsheet focuses on power applications (<1 nour discharge time) such as power balancing and frequency regulation.										
TRL level 2020	TRL 9 Li-ion batteries are one of the most used technologies for electrochemical electricity storage (IRENA, 2015) and have in recent years become the most installed battery for stationary applications (JRC, 2018; Kessel et al., 2017).										
TECHNICAL DIMENSIONS											
Capacity	Functional Unit kW	1,000.00				Value and Rang 2,000.00 -	e	20,000.00			
Potential	Global	Gwe		Current N/A	-	Min	2030 - -	Max	Min	2050 - -	Max
Market share	Global utility scale electricity storage	%	-	See explanatior –	-	Min		Мах	Min	-	Max
Capacity utlization factor Full-load running hours per year	1.00										
Unit of Activity	PJ/year										
Technical lifetime (years) Progress ratio	10 years (JRC, 2014). 200-2,000 cycles (ADB, 2018). 70% (JRC, 2014)										
Hourly profile	No										
Explanation	kW is used as functional unit because the amount of power a battery can deliver for short periods of time is more relevant for power applications than the amount of energy that can be stored in the battery.									nergy that can	
	Typical power capacity refers to project level capacity, not individual battery capacity. For power based applications, the typical capacity is 1-3 MW (JRC, 2014) with utility scale Systems of 6-40 MW operational (Luo et al., 2015). Typical storage capacity is 0.5-1.2 MWh (JRC, 2014), and ranges up to 20 MWh (Luo et al., 2015). More recent studies consider utility-scale systems of up to 100 MW (EPRI, 2018; Cole and Frazier, 2020; Feldman et al., 2021).										
The potential for all battery types is high as there are no significant space or resource constraints, instead demand for storage and costs are usually determining factor to potential installed capacity. As of 2016, Li-ion batteries have 0.6% of the utility-scale electricity storage market share at 829 MW, which is dominated by pumper market share (Kessels et al., 2017). From the battery energy storage systems, Li-ion is the dominant technology with a market share of >65% (Kessel et al., 2017). The not included in the data set because it covers all utility-scale storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the market share of Li-ion batteries for the storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the market share of Li-ion batteries for the storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the market share of Li-ion batteries for the storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the market share of Li-ion batteries for the storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the market share of Li-ion batteries for the storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the market share of Li-ion batteries for the storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the market share of Li-ion batteries for the storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the market share of Li-ion batteries for the storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the market share of Li-ion batteries for the storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the market share of Li-ion batteries for the storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the market share of Li-ion batteries for the storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the storage applications (both temporal and power applications) and the storage applications (both temporal applications) applications (both tempo									mining factors y pumped hyd , 2017). The m patteries for po	when it comes ro at 99% arket share is ower	
	applications is not yet clear. Reports on lifetime vary from 5-20 years (Cole and Frazier, 2020; IEA-ETSAP & IRENA, 2012) and cycle lifetime from 0-20,000 cycles depending on the type of Li-ion battery (IRENA, 2017). The reference chemistry used here for cycle lifetime is Lithium Iron Phosfate (LiFePO4 or LFP), currently the most commonly used chemistry for stationary applications.										ery (IRENA, ations.
COSTS											
Year of Euro	2015 Euro per Functional Ur	nit		Current			2030			2050	
Investment costs	€ / kW		363.00 363.00 - 844.00			192.00 134.00 – 269.00			145.00 80.00 - 203.00		
Other costs per year	€/ KW		Min	-	Мах	Min	-	Мах	Min	-	Max
Fixed operational costs per year (excl. fuel costs)	€/kW		5.08	5.08	21 10	1 00	2.69	10.00	1 1 2	2.03	5.08
Variable costs per voar	€ / MWh		5.08	2.60	21.10	1.88	2.60	10.00	1.12	2.60	5.08
	The reference is utility scale Li-ion h	atteries (10-60	- MW) with 0.5	– hour storage c	2.60 apacity. The inv	-	– s include the Li-	2.60 ion battery, ba	- tterv inverter	– halance of syst	2.60 tems (BOS)
	Installation labour costs, taxes and fees (Feldman et al., 2021). Costs of only the Li-ion battery are about 100 €/kW in 2020 (Feldman et al., 2021).										
	Current investment costs for systems with in storage capacity are within the range given here: 422-760 €/kW (EPRI, 2018). Note that the storage capacity (in hours) and the choice for reporting investment costs in €/kW or €/kWh, has a significant effect on cost comparisons (Cole and Frazier, 2020).										
Costs explanation	Investment costs decreases towards 2030 and 2050 are based on the analysis by Cole and Frazier (2020) for a 60 MW, 4-hour Li-ion battery. Fixed O&M costs taken to be 1.4-2.5% of investment costs (JRC, 2014; EPRI, 2018; Cole and Frazier, 2020). The higher estimate (2.5%) includes costs for counteracting degradation										
	Variable operation & maintenance	(VOM) costs ar	e defined by JR	C (2014) as pro he VOM costs r	duction-related	d O&M costs t e in 2020, 203	hat vary with el	ectrical genera e and Frazier (ntion. They excl 2020) do not ir	ude personnel	, fuel, and CO2
	assumption that all O&M costs are i	included in the	FOM.						,	, u	
ENERGY IN- AND OUTPUTS				-							
	Energy carrier Main output:	Unit		Current -1.00			2030			2050	
	Electricity	LA1	-1.00	-	-1.00	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
Energy carriers (per unit of main output)	Electricity	PJ	1.18	-	1.18	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
		PJ	D. A.L	-	Δ.Δ	Λ Λ:	-	Λ Λ ~···	D. A.L	-	A.4
		PJ	17110	-	IVIUX	IVIIN	-	ινιαχ	IVIIN	- -	ividx
Energy in- and Outputs explanation		l	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
MATERIAL FLOWS (OPTIONAL)				-							
	Material	Unit		Current			2030			2050	
Material flows			Min	-	Мах	Min	-	Мах	Min	-	Max
Material flows explanation	<u> </u>		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
EMISSIONS (Non-fuel/energy-related emissions or emissions reductions (e.g. CCS)											
	Substance	Unit		Current			2030			2050	
Emissions			Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
			Min	-	Мах	Min	-	Мах	Min	-	Мах
			Min	-	Мах	Min		Max	Min	-	Мах
			р. <i>н</i> *	-		A. #*	-	8.4		- -	A.4
Emissions explanation		<u> </u>	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max

OTHER											
Parameter	Unit	Current			2030			2050			
Depth of discharge	%		80.00			-			-		
		80.00	_	80.00	Min	-	Max	Min	_	Max	
Charge time	Hours		0.55			-	-		-	-	
		0.10	-	1.00	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	
Discharge time	Hours		0.50			-	-		-		
		0.25	-	0.75	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	
Self discharge	% / month		5.00			-			-		
		1.50	-	6.00	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	
Explanation	ation Charge and discharge times are own estimations based on literature. JRC (2014) states that the minimum time necessary to charge a unit is approximately 6 minutes.										
REFERENCES AND SOURCES											
1 DNV-KEMA (2013). Systems Analysis Power to Gas (Deliverable 1: Technology review)											
2 IRENA (2015). Renewables and	Electricity Storage: a technology roadmap for REma	ap 2030									
3 JRC (2014). Energy Technology	Reference Indicator (ETRI) projections for 2010-205	50									
4 Luo et al. (2015). Overview of c	urrent development in electrical energy storage ter	chnologies and th	e application p	otential in pov	ver system ope	eration					
5 IRENA (2017). Electricity Storag	e Costs										
6 EPRI (2018) - Energy storage tee	chnology and cost assessment: Executive summary										
7 Cole, W. and Frazier, A. W. (2020). Cost Projections for Utility-Scale Battery Storage: 2020 Update											
8 Feldman, D., Ramasamy, V., Fu, R., Ramdas, A., Desai, J. and Margolis, R. (2021). U.S. Solar photovoltaic system and energy storage cost benchmark: Q1 2020											
9 IEA-ETSAP & IRENA (2012). Electricity storage technology brief											
10 McKinsey (2015). Commercialisation of energy storage in Europe											
11 Sauer et al. (2007). Detailed cost calculations for stationary battery storage systems. Second International Renewable Energy Storage Conference (IRES II) Bonn, 1921.11.2007											
12 Kessel et al. (2017) - Support to R&D strategy for battery based energy storage. Costs and benefits for deployment scenarios of battery systems (D7)											
13 ADB (2018) - Handbook on battery energy storage system											
14 JRC (2018) - Li-ion batteries for mobility and stationary storage applications.											