

POWER TRANSFORMER OFFSHORE HV

Date of factsheet	21-1-2021
Author	Ricardo Hernandez Serna
Sector	Infrastructure
ETS / Non-ETS	Non-ETS
Type of Technology	Network
Description	<p>This factsheet describes the use of HVDC power converters for alternate current/direct current (AC/DC) conversion. HVDC converters are mainly divided between current source converters (CSC) and voltage source converters (VSC) technologies.</p> <p>CSC have been commercially in use since the 50s, making it a well-established technology, based on the use of high power thyristors. The technology is widely used for back-to-back links between asynchronous grids. Due to its conversion technique, these converters absorb reactive power from the adjacent AC grid, generating harmonic currents having a negative impact on the quality of the electrical power. Therefore, reactive compensation and harmonic filters are required. As a result, the converter depends on the AC system's voltage to ensure the correct operation. DC current flows unidirectionally, to reverse it in a CSC connection, the DC control system reverses the polarity of the DC voltage. DC cables are still limited to lower voltages and power levels [4]. DC cables power capabilities are limited due to electrothermal heating and problems arising out of possible electrothermal instability of the insulation that could result in rapid failure under certain conditions of operation [8].</p> <p>VSC technology is based on the use of Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistors (IGBT). VSC devices are self-commutating, making the operation of converter independent of the AC system's voltage and a more controllable. An advantage over CSC is that it does not require reactive power compensation and less AC harmonic filtering, resulting in less ancillary equipment. The VSC HVDC systems in service so far have been limited to lower voltages and power ratings than CSC systems due to its low overload capability, limited by the capability of the IGBT devices. Nevertheless, new projects such as NordLink and NorthSeaLink with a 1400MW rated power are under construction [7].</p>
TRL level 2020	<p>TRL 8</p> <p>CSC technology has followed many years of improvement. Thyristor devices can operate at voltages up to 8.5kV and switch DC currents of up to 6250A. An important characteristic of this thyristor-based technology is its short time overload capability and its high efficiency, with a total operating power loss in a converter station of typically 0.7 – 0.8%. It is considered a technology with a TRL 9.</p> <p>Compared to the CSC technology, VSC is a less mature technology and still in development, using IGBT devices rated up to 6.5kV and 2000A. The overload capability of this technology is still low (limited by the IGBT devices), despite the rise on the power and voltage ratings.</p> <p>The operating losses of VSC technology have decreased dramatically in recent years to reach about 1% per converter station (half-bridge solution). The 'half-bridge' solution is at a TRL 7. Future development in VSC is the 'full-bridge' configuration that allows a more flexible operation. This solution is at a TRL 4 [3].</p>

TECHNICAL DIMENSIONS

Capacity	Functional Unit		Value and Range								
	MW		Current			2030			2050		
Potential			Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
Market share		%	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
Capacity utilization factor	1.00										
Full-load running hours per year											
Unit of Activity											
Technical lifetime (years)											
Progress ratio											
Hourly profile											
Explanation	<p>An ENTSO-e study estimates a need for 35 GW of new cross border reinforcement for 2025. For 2030 the study finds that 50 GW extra would be cost-efficient, representing a 17 bn € investment in the European transmission grid. By 2040, 43 additional GW of cross border capacity on top of the needs for 2030 is required. This increase would represent about 28 bn € of investment. DC technologies will play a significant role in the efforts to meet this reinforcements goals [5]. By 2018, 10% of the cross border lines were DC, while there are around 30 GW of cross border DC projects in the pipeline for 2030 [9].</p> <p>Added to the demand for cross border interconnection capacity, Europe has 22.1 GW of offshore wind capacity, and according to European Commission's roadmap on offshore renewable energy, over 250 GW of installed offshore is anticipated by 2050. This means that the need for onshore and offshore converter stations will be needed to support the installation of HVDC interconnectors between countries and to integrate offshore wind power plants [6].</p>										

COSTS

Year of Euro	2015										
Investment costs	Euro per Functional Unit		Current			2030			2050		
	mln. € / MW		0.08	-	0.10	0.08	-	0.10	0.08	-	0.10
Other costs per year	mln. € / MW		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
Fixed operational costs per year (excl. fuel costs)	mln. € / MW		0.00			0.00			0.00		
	mln. € /		0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Variable costs per year	mln. € /		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
Costs explanation	<p>Correlations were identified between both rating (MVA) and the number of transformers in a station. There were two categories: 1-4 converter transformer per station and 6-8 converter transformers. There was an even mix of VSC and CSC in the 1-4 converter transformers category. VSC tended to have a higher cost per MVA than CSC within the 1-4 converters category, although this breakdown cannot be shown due to the size of the samples. The sample size was determined by European TSOs who submitted their most recent newly constructed assets, going back no more than 10 years [2].</p>										

ENERGY IN- AND OUTPUTS

Energy carriers (per unit of main output)	Energy carrier	Unit	Current			2030			2050		
			Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
Main output:	Electricity	PJ	-0.99			-0.99			-0.99		
			-0.99	-	-0.99	-0.99	-	-0.99	-0.99	-	-0.99
	Electricity	PJ	1.00			1.00			1.00		
			1.00	-	1.00	1.00	-	1.00	1.00	-	1.00
Propane	PJ	-			-			-			
		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	
		PJ	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max

Energy in- and Outputs explanation: CSC have a total operating power loss in a converter station of typically 0.7 – 0.8%. Whereas the operating losses of VSC technology are about 1% per converter station [4].

MATERIAL FLOWS (OPTIONAL)

Material flows	Material	Unit	Current			2030			2050		
			Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
			Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
			Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max

Material flows explanation

EMISSIONS (Non-fuel/energy-related emissions or emissions reductions (e.g. CCS))											
Emissions	Substance	Unit	Current			2030			2050		
				-			-			-	
			Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
				-			-			-	
			Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
				-			-			-	
		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	
			-			-			-		
		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	
			-			-			-		
		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	
Emissions explanation											
OTHER											
Parameter	Unit	Current			2030			2050			
			-			-			-		
		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	
			-			-			-		
		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	
			-			-			-		
		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	
			-			-			-		
		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	
Explanation											
REFERENCES AND SOURCES											
1	NorthSeaGrid (2015). Offshore Electricity Grid Implementation in the North Sea										
2	ACER (2015). Report on unit investment cost indicators and corresponding reference values for electricity and gas infrastructure.										
3	ENTSOE (2019). Technologies for Transmission System. Technical Final version after public consultation and ACER opinion.										
4	NationalGrid (2013). High Voltage Direct Current Electricity – technical information.										
6	ENTSOE (2020) Completing the map, power system needs in 2030 and 2040.										
5	IEA (2014). ETSAP. Electricity Transmission and Distribution.										
7	Hartel et al. (2017) Review of investment model cost parameters for VSC HVDC transmission infrastructure.										
8	C. C. Reddy,(2009). Theoretical Maximum Limits on Power-Handling Capacity of HVDC Cables										
9	ENTSOE (2019). Statistical Factsheet 2018.										