

FISCHER-TROPSCH FUEL PRODUCTION												
Date of factsheet	20-12-2019											
Author	Remko Detz											
Sector	Industry: Petrochemicals											
ETS / Non-ETS	ETS											
Type of Technology	Production											
Description	Mixing CO with H2 (provided from external sources) provides the syngas which can be used in a Fischer–Tropsch (FT) plant to produce FT synthetic fuels. The syngas is converted in the FT reactor into a mixture of hydrocarbons. The crude FT oil exists typically (e.g. Shell's Middle Distillates Synthesis) out of long chain waxy molecules and is subsequently upgraded by a hydro-isomerisation and hydrocracking step (mild conditions of temperature and pressure) and distillation to produce the desired, lighter products. Although the mixture of produced hydrocarbons may vary depending on the process and conditions, we here assume that the energy use and costs remain the same. As desired, the process produces either a high share of diesel (diesel mode) or kerosene (kerosene mode). This results for diesel mode in 60% (energy) diesel, 25% (energy) kerosene and 15% (energy) other oil products, and for kerosene mode in 25% diesel, 50% kerosene, and 25% other oil products. [Schmidt 2016a, Schmidt 2018, Ansorge]											
TRL level 2020	TRL 9 The FT process is developed one century ago and applied at commercial scale ranging from 15000-260000 bbl/d. Typically the syngas is produced by natural gas reforming or the gasification of coal [Shell's Pearl GTL plant]. To start from CO2, RWGS (or another CO production route) is required (not integrated in this factsheet) to produce the CO. Such technology has been demonstrated, but not at full commercial scale (TRL 7). An alternative to produce syngas is by co-electrolysis of water and CO2 in high temperature electrolyzers, which is also a technology that is not fully mature (TRL 6). Here we only assess the FT reactor and upgrading, which is (starting from syngas) operated at full commercial scale, i.e. TRL 9. For small scale FT plant implementation some development may be required to adjust these to the upstream syngas production step.											
TECHNICAL DIMENSIONS												
Capacity	Functional Unit		Value and Range									
	PJ		10									
Potential	EU	PJ	Current			2030			2050			
			0.17			-			570.00			
	0.50			2,472			8,816					
	0.30 - 0.50			2,472 - 2,472			4,610 - 14,991					
Market share	EU	%	16.59			64.25						
			-			16.59			39.46 - 86.15			
Capacity utilization factor	1.00											
Full-load running hours per year	8,322											
Unit of Activity	PJ/year											
Technical lifetime (years)	25											
Progress ratio	0.90											
Hourly profile	No											
Explanation	The potential is very high if the full amount of hydrocarbon fuels currently used is considered. We depict the average projection of Siegemund et al. (2017), who made an estimate of the potentials for the European transport sector based on various assumptions. We assume that the process runs continuously (based on constant supply of H2 and CO). The progress ratio is derived from Detz (2018) (FT plant), which might be conservative as a smaller scale FT plant is a rather novel technology and may follow a different learning curve than conventional FT technology, especially if modular designs are developed integrated with rWGS/electrolysis.											
COSTS												
Year of Euro	2015											
Investment costs	Euro per Functional Unit		Current			2030			2050			
	mIn. € / PJ		30.00			13.00			10.00			
Other costs per year	mIn. € / PJ		-			-			-			
			Min - Max			Min - Max			Min - Max			
Fixed operational costs per year (excl. fuel costs)	mIn. € / PJ		1.20			0.52			0.40			
			0.65 - 1.85			0.45 - 0.60			0.40 - 0.45			
Variable costs per year	mIn. € /		-			-			-			
			Min - Max			Min - Max			Min - Max			
Costs explanation	The capex for the FT plant (synthesis and upgrading processes) ranges between 14 and 53 Meuro/PJ fuel output for a 3 PJ capacity plant (9 studies), while it is 10-37 Meuro/PJ for a 10 PJ plant. We selected a value of 30 Meuro/PJ for 2020. For a 10 PJ plant in 2030, the costs are projected in 3 studies to go down to 10-15 Meuro/PJ, while further reductions are expected for 2050: 9-11 Meuro/PJ (4 studies). We select 13 Meuro/PJ for 2030 and 10 Meuro/PJ for 2050. O&M ranges between 3-5% of which we selected 4% from the investment costs.											
ENERGY IN- AND OUTPUTS												
Energy carriers (per unit of main output)	Energy carrier		Unit		Current			2030			2050	
	Main output: Synthetic fuels		PJ		-1.00			-1.00			-1.00	
					-1.00 - -1.00			-1.00 - -1.00			-1.00 - -1.00	
	Electricity		PJ		0.02			0.02			0.02	
					0.02 - 0.02			0.02 - 0.02			0.02 - 0.02	
	Hydrogen		PJ		0.91			0.91			0.91	
				0.79 - 0.92			0.91 - 0.91			0.91 - 0.91		
CO		PJ		0.53			0.53			0.53		
				0.46 - 0.53			0.53 - 0.53			0.53 - 0.53		
Energy in- and Outputs explanation	The difference in energy and mass ratios between diesel, kerosene, and oil products is neglected because the error is small (typical LHV of these fuels is 43 MJ/kg) and the values depend on the exact composition of the synthetic hydrocarbon fuel produced by the chemical reaction. At diesel mode, the process produces 1 PJ of hydrocarbon products of which 0.6 PJ diesel, 0.25 PJ kerosene, and 0.15 PJ other oil products. At kerosene mode, the process produces 1 PJ of hydrocarbon products of which 0.25 PJ diesel, 0.50 PJ kerosene, and 0.25 PJ other oil products. We assume a carbon efficiency of 88% from CO to products for 2020. Although the carbon efficiency may slightly improve after development over time, we here assume it remains constant towards 2050.											
MATERIAL FLOWS (OPTIONAL)												
Material flows	Material		Unit		Current			2030			2050	
	Water		Mton		-0.03			-0.03			-0.03	
					-0.03 - -0.03			-0.03 - -0.03			-0.03 - -0.03	
Heat produced		PJ		-0.33			-0.33			-0.33		
				-0.33 - -0.33			-0.33 - -0.33			-0.33 - -0.33		
Material flows explanation	The reaction between hydrogen and CO produces FT fuels, heat, and water. 2 mol H2 + 1 mol CO -> 1 mol ~CH2~ + 1 mol H2O. Besides 1 PJ of fuels, we assume that around 0.33 PJ of high grade heat can be produced by the exothermal process and combustion of waste products after extraction of internal heat use for upgrading and purification. Water is another byproduct, typically around 0.03 Mt/PJfuel of process water is produced.											
EMISSIONS (Non-fuel/energy-related emissions or emissions reductions (e.g. CCS))												
Emissions	Substance		Unit		Current			2030			2050	
	CO2		Mton		-0.01			-0.01			-0.01	
					-0.01 - -0.01			-0.01 - -0.01			-0.01 - -0.01	
					Min - Max			Min - Max			Min - Max	
					-			-			-	
				Min - Max			Min - Max			Min - Max		
				-			-			-		
				Min - Max			Min - Max			Min - Max		
Emissions explanation	The carbon conversion efficiency to fuels is 88%, the remaining 12% is converted into fuel that is not a FT fuel output (e.g. ethane/methane) and is used as purge gas and burned with air/oxygen to produce CO2 in a flue gas.											

OTHER										
Parameter	Unit	Current			2030			2050		
		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
		-			-			-		
		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
		-			-			-		
		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
		-			-			-		
		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
		-			-			-		
		Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max	Min	-	Max
Explanation										
REFERENCES AND SOURCES										
Schmidt et al. 2016_Renewables in Transport 2050 Empowering a sustainable mobility future with zero emission fuels from renewable electricity Kraftstoffstudie II										
Agora 2018 The Future Cost of Electricity-Based Synthetic Fuels (by J. Perner et al. Frontier Economics)										
Detz et al. 2018_The future of solar fuels: when could they become competitive?										
Shell Pearl plant, https://www.shell.com/about-us/major-projects/pearl-gtl.html										
Siegemund et al. 2017_E-fuels study, The potential of electricity-based fuels for low-emission transport in the EU, an expertise by LBST and dena										
Schmidt et al 2018_Power-to-Liquids as Renewable Fuel Option for Aviation: A Review										
Tremel et al. 2015_Techno-economic analysis for the synthesis of liquid and gaseous fuels based on hydrogen production via electrolysis										
Terwel et al. 2018_Carbon neutral aviation with current engine technology: the take-off of synthetic kerosene production in the Netherlands										
IEA 2019_The Future of Hydrogen (Assumptions Annex)										
Hamelinck 2004_Production of FT transportation fuels from biomass; technical options, process analysis and optimisation, and development potential_Energy 29, 1743–1771										